



motion. *State v. Huffman*, 144 Idaho 201, 203, 159 P.3d 838, 840 (2007). An appeal from the denial of an I.C.R. 35 motion cannot be used as a vehicle to review the underlying sentence absent the presentation of new information. *Id.* Because no new or additional information in support of Baker's I.C.R. 35 motion was presented, the district court did not abuse its discretion. Baker's I.C.R. 35 motion is affirmed.